Sixth Edition

Michlovitz's MODALITIES FOR THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTION

James W. Bellew
Susan L. Michlovitz
Thomas P. Nolan, Jr.

Contemporary Perspectives in Rehabilitation

MODALITIES FOR THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTION

Sixth Edition

Steven L. Wolf, PT, PhD, FAPTA, Editor-in-Chief

Fundamentals of Musculoskeletal Imaging, 4th Edition
Lynn N. McKinnis, PT, OCS

Pharmacology in Rehabilitation, 5th Edition
Charles D. Ciccone, PT, PhD

Wound Healing: Alternatives in Management, 4th Edition
Joseph M. McCulloch, PT, PhD, CWS, and Luther C. Kloth, PT, MS, CWS, FAPTA

Vestibular Rehabilitation, 4th Edition
Susan J. Herdman, PT, PhD

MODALITIES FOR THERAPEUTIC INTERVENTION

Sixth Edition

Previously titled *Thermal Agents in Rehabilitation*, editions 1, 2, and 3

James W. Bellew, PT, EdD
Professor, Krannert School of Physical Therapy
University of Indianapolis
Indianapolis, IN

Susan L. Michlovitz, PT, PhD, CHT
Adjunct Associate Professor, Rehabilitation Medicine
Columbia University, New York, NY

Thomas P. Nolan Jr., PT, DPT, OCS
Associate Professor, School of Health Sciences
Stockton University
Galloway, NJ

F.A. Davis Company • Philadelphia

F. A. Davis Company
1915 Arch Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103
www.fadavis.com

Printed in the United States of America

Last digit indicates print number: 10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

Senior Acquisitions Editor: Melissa Duffield
Director of Content Development: George W. Lang
Developmental Editor: Susan R. Williams
Art and Design Manager: Carolyn O'Brien

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Names: Bellew, James W., editor. | Michlovitz, Susan L., editor. | Nolan, Thomas, Jr., 1955- , editor.
Title: Modalities for therapeutic intervention / [edited by] James W. Bellew, Susan L. Michlovitz, Thomas P. Nolan Jr.
Other titles: Contemporary perspectives in rehabilitation (Series)
Description: Sixth edition. | Philadelphia, PA : F.A. Davis Company, [2016] | Series: Contemporary perspectives in rehabilitation | Includes bibliographical references and index.
Identifiers: LCCN 2016010591| ISBN 9780803645639 (alk. paper) | ISBN 0803645635 (alk. paper)
Subjects: | MESH: Physical Therapy Modalities | Wounds and Injuries--rehabilitation
Classification: LCC RM700 | NLM WB 460 | DDC 615.8/32--dc23 LC record available at http://lccn.loc.gov/2016010591

This edition is dedicated to my wife, Mary Helen,
and daughters, Kate and Caroline,
who have amazed me with their joy, love, and support
throughout my career and life,
and to all the students past and present who inspire me every day.

— Jim Bellew

I would like to dedicate this edition to the
students, clinicians, and faculty who have
supported and had faith in this textbook since 1986.

— Sue Michlovitz

This edition is dedicated to the physical therapy faculty at
Stockton University and to the many Stockton physical therapy
students who have contributed to this textbook.

— Tom Nolan

Foreword

Pertain: be appropriate, related, or applicable.

synonyms: concern, related to, be connected with, be relevant to, regard, apply to, be pertinent to, refer to have, affect, involve, touch on

Sustain: strengthen or support physically or mentally

synonyms: comfort, help, assist, encourage, succor, support, give strength to, buoy up, carry, cheer up, hearten

The American Physical Therapy Association defines physical therapists as professional health care providers who . . .

". . . will be responsible for evaluating and managing an individual's movement system across the lifespan to promote optimal development; diagnose impairments, activity limitations, and participation restrictions; and provide interventions targeted at preventing or ameliorating activity limitations and participation restrictions. The movement system is the core of physical therapist practice, education, and research." (http://www.apta.org/Vision/)

There is little doubt that embedded within this definition is the recognition that physical therapists are outstanding authorities on movement pathology and, as such, are responsible for the implementation of new procedures and technologies, irrespective of the patient population for which each possesses the greatest treatment skills. While the advent and assimilation of novel manual skills and assistive technologies are undeniable, throughout our distinguished history a common thread has weaved its way through our professional fabric: our use of modalities as either primary sources of treatment or as adjuncts to our manual skills and the concurrent discourse with our patients. One might say that modalities pertain to much of what we do . . . often to relax or excite tissues or structures in preparation for enhanced function. More often than not, such applications bring comfort to our patients and foster compliance with the totality of a therapeutic plan. We could even believe the tools that we call modalities sustain us because so often there is unequivocal evidence of the immediacy to which our patients respond to their physiological impact. Such positive responsiveness infuses confidence in us by our patients and reaffirms that we are on the right path toward improving an existing pathology.

While this perspective appears encouraging and may validate the belief that we are truly helping our patients, we are equally justified in our concern that perhaps we have come to take for granted the myriad of modalities and the conditions that they can positively influence. Without a reference that is continuously updated and to which any clinician can turn with unabated confidence, perhaps we might lose sight of advances in these agents or in our ability to maintain our position as the foremost authorities in their use. *Modalities for Therapeutic Interventions,* originally called *Thermal Agents in Rehabilitation* in its first iteration as the very first volume within the *Contemporary Perspectives in Rehabilitation (CPR)* series exactly 30 years ago, is now experiencing its sixth edition. The fact that this text has truly withstood the test of time is testimony to how well it has evolved and become beloved as the "go to" textbook on modality use in rehabilitation. This edition has been brilliantly conceived by Drs. Bellew, Michlovitz, and Nolan and now—for the first time—is even more vibrant owing to the four-color format and color photos that pervade its content. All chapters have been updated, and the tradition that "binds" all volumes of the CPR series—challenging case histories and clinical decision-making formatting—is pervasive, as is the infusion of Key Points distributed throughout each chapter. These points stand out in blue print as beacons from which students can extract essential information within subject material.

Jim Bellew provides a new and exciting introductory chapter that reminds students and clinicians about the importance and use of modalities. New chapters on ultrasound (Chapter 4, David Lake), mechanical compression (Chapter 8, Robert Marsico), electrical stimulation for pain control (Chapter 11, Richard Liebano), and modalities for improving range of motion (Chapter 12, Andrew Starsky) and new content on laser therapy within the chapter on Therapeutic Modalities for Tissue

Healing (Chapter 15, Ed Mahoney) are contributions that contain information not previously addressed in the fifth edition. Throughout the text, attention is directed not only to evidence supporting the circumstances for optimal use of a modality (a concept gathering greater appreciation as we struggle to support additional treatment for our patients) but also—equally as significant and so often overlooked—the identification of situations and circumstances where evidence is lacking.

In a time when modalities might be less appreciated, we must not lose sight of the fact that our treatment approaches have become far more dynamic and interactive. If we choose a perspective that advocates for modality application as a vehicle to foster functionally based activity either in conjunction with its use or as an immediate consequence, we begin to see these steadfast stalwarts as our faithful partners, who have always been there for our use but whose appeal can be viewed in a more contemporary mode. For over 70 years they have been a part of our armamentarium. Indeed, they do pertain to the totality of our treatment, and their very presence has always been there to sustain us.

Our collective hope is that this philosophical bent will be conveyed to the next generation of students and clinicians, who will view this text as the friend it has become to past generations.

Steven L. Wolf, PhD, PT, FAPTA, FAHA
Editor-in-Chief, *Contemporary Perspectives in Rehabilitation* Series
Atlanta, Georgia
February 2016

Preface to the Sixth Edition

Circa 1982, I met Dr. Steve Wolf at a Pan American Rheumatology Meeting in Washington, DC. He had recently published a book on electrotherapy that I was using in my course at Hahnemann University. I told him I was using his book but needed one for my first course, Thermal Agents. I met F. A. Davis acquisitions editor, Bob Martone, shortly thereafter, and bingo, the second book in the Contemporary Perspectives in Rehabilitation was birthed. In this sixth edition, I have turned over the reins to Dr. Jim Bellew. He continues to team with Dr. Tom Nolan and our many authors to produce a high-quality textbook.

Over the decades of my career as a physical therapist, I have seen modalities used or not used in a similar manner as the action potential of a nerve—that is, "all or nothing." On one end of the spectrum we would frown upon "fake and bake" clinics. At the other end of the spectrum there are therapists and documents that profess the lack of need or that discourage use of any modalities for a patient. Somewhere between lies good clinical reasoning.

To instructors, please do not use the material in this book in isolation of other courses you teach. Combine the information into the curriculum related to musculoskeletal, neuromuscular, and integumentary problems. Foster rationale and logical uses of modalities in the patient-centered care model. Teach your students how to appropriately assess the need for a modality within a treatment paradigm and how to appropriately measure the outcome.

Over the last five editions, we have worked and reworked sections and chapters. You can read through the table of contents and peruse the book to appreciate the variety of topics covered by expert clinician authors. Aspects of rational clinical decision-making are threaded throughout the chapters. We want our patients to have the best chance to work toward functional mobility and improve their body structure and function, activities, and participation. The judicious use of modalities is a good place to begin.

To all young faculty and students who aspire to work on projects, be careful what you ask for! I met Steve Wolf in 1982, had a brief discussion, and was on the road to a textbook that is now in its sixth edition.

Enjoy this textbook and please do give us feedback.

Sue Michlovitz

Preface to the First Edition

Thermal agents are used in physical therapy and rehabilitation to reduce pain, to enhance healing, and to improve motion. The physical therapist should have a solid foundation in the normal physiologic control of the cardiovascular and neuromuscular systems prior to using an agent that can alter the function of these structures. In addition, a background in the physiology of healing mechanisms and of pain serves as a basis for the rationale of using thermal agents.

Often, the decision to include a thermal agent in a therapy plan or to have the thermal agent be the sole treatment rendered (as in the case of the frequently used "hot packs and ultrasound combination" for back pain) is based on empirical evidence. The purpose of this book is to provide the reader with the underlying rationale for selection of an agent to be included in a therapy program, based on (1) the known physiologic and physical effects of that agent; (2) the safety and use of the heat/cold agent, given the conditions and limitations of the patient's dysfunction; and (3) the therapeutic goals for that particular patient. The authors have been asked to review critically the literature available that documents the efficacy and effectiveness of each thermal agent. A problem-solving approach to the use of thermal agents is stressed throughout the text.

The primary audience for this text is the physical therapist. The student will gain a solid foundation in thermal agents, the clinician will strengthen his or her perspective of thermal agents, and the researcher is given information that will provide ideas for clinical studies on thermal agents. Athletic trainers and other professionals who use thermal agents in their practice should find this text of value.

The text is in three parts. Part I, Foundations for the Use of Thermal Agents, includes information from basic and medical sciences that can serve as a framework for the choice to include thermal agents in a rehabilitation program. A discussion of the proposed mechanisms by which heat and cold can alter inflammation, healing, and pain is included in these chapters.

Part II of the text, Instrumentation: Methods and Application, incorporates concepts of equipment selection, operation and maintenance, and clinical application. The leading chapter in this part is on instrumentation principles and serves to introduce concepts of equipment circuitry and safety as applied to equipment used for thermal therapy. Physical therapists have become responsible for product purchase and making recommendations about products through the expansion of consultation services, private practices, sports medicine clinics, extended care facilities, and home health care. Therefore, we must be prepared to engage in dialogue with manufacturers, product distributors, and other colleagues about the safety and quality of these products. To this end, some practical suggestions are provided in Chapter 3 to assist with purchase decisions.

Chapters 4 through 8 discuss the operation and application of heat and cold agents. Numerous principles of clinical decision-making are included within each chapter. There are certain principles inherent to all agent applications: (1) The patient must be evaluated and treatment goals established; (2) contraindications to treatment must be known; and (3) the safe and effective use of equipment must be understood.

Chapter 9, on low-power laser, deviates somewhat from the overall theme of thermal agents. Low-power laser is not expected to produce an increase in tissue temperature, so its effects could not be attributed to thermal mechanisms. Therefore, this cannot be categorized as a thermal agent. However, I believe this topic is worthy of inclusion in this text because (1) the indications for its use overlap those of thermal agents; (2) laser is a form of non-ionizing radiation, as are diathermy and ultrasound, which are used for pain reduction and tissue healing; and (3) laser would most likely be included in a physical therapy student curriculum in the coursework that includes thermal agents. At the time of this writing (summer 1985), low-power laser is still considered by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration as an investigational device. Only carefully designed clinical studies

will help determine the laser's clinical efficacy—perhaps contributing to the body of knowledge needed to change the laser's status from an investigational to an accepted therapeutic product.

Part III, Clinical Decision Making, is designed to assist the student and clinician in integrating basic concepts that have been presented throughout the entire book, emphasizing problem solving and evaluation.

Much information has been published in the medical literature on the effects or clinical results of heat and cold application. Oftentimes, the therapist is called upon to justify the use of a certain modality. A careful review of the research literature may be necessary to provide an explanation for treatment.

There are many areas that require further investigation. For example, contrast baths (alternating heat and cold) are often used in sports medicine clinics. But a careful review of the literature reveals that only scanty information supports the use of contrast baths for any patient population. It is important for the clinician to be able to interpret accurately and to apply the methods and results that are presented in the literature. The inclusion of a chapter (Chapter 10) on techniques for reviewing the literature and establishing a paradigm for clinical studies of thermal agents provides the clinician with such a background on which to build.

Chapters 11 and 12 are devoted to specific patient populations in which thermal agents are commonly used. The chapter on sports medicine is representative of a population with a known cause of injury and predictable course of recovery. The majority of these patients are otherwise healthy. On the other hand, the chapter on rheumatic disease presents a model for a patient population that can be expected to have chronic recurrent—sometimes progressive—dysfunction associated with systemic manifestations.

An appendix is included: temperature conversion scales (this text uses the centigrade scale).

Susan L. Michlovitz, PhD, PT, CHT

Acknowledgments

To continue into this sixth edition would not be possible without the continued support of our loyal users. Thank you to the faculty, students, and clinicians who have continued to use this text throughout its history. Many thanks are due to the special people at F. A. Davis who continue to support this text: Melissa Duffield, George Lang, and Margaret Biblis. A very special thank-you goes to the developmental editor, Susan Williams, of the Williams Company, for all the guidance and experience in completing this edition. Thank you to Jason Torres of J. Torres Photography for the outstanding photography included in this first full-color edition. Thank you to Drs. Joe McCulloch and Ed Mahoney of the School of Allied Health Professions at the Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center–Shreveport for their contribution of several key images throughout this text. Thank you to Dr. Rick Proctor and Dave Walters of DJO Global for supplying equipment for the photo shoot. And finally, but never last, a huge thank-you to the students who participated as models in this edition: Daniel Batteiger, Brooke Versteeg, Allison Colligan, and Austin Biefnes from the University of Indianapolis, and Jamie Umstetter, Brandon Dooley, Kavita Patel, and Matthew Romen from Richard Stockton University.

Biographies

James W. Bellew

James W. Bellew, PT, EdD, is Professor of Physical Therapy in the Krannert School of Physical Therapy at the University of Indianapolis. Dr. Bellew received his entry-level bachelor of science degree in physical therapy from Marquette University. After several years of clinical practice in Milwaukee, he received a master of science degree in physical therapy and doctor of education degree in exercise physiology from the University of Kentucky. His research encompasses the use of electrotherapeutic waveforms and muscle physiology. Dr. Bellew has published more than 50 peer-reviewed scientific manuscripts and abstracts in the areas of electrotherapy, exercise training, balance, and muscle physiology. He teaches in the areas of clinical medicine, therapeutic modalities, and human physiology. He is a regular presenter and speaker at the American Physical Therapy Association's (APTA) Combined Sections Meetings and is routinely sought nationally and internationally for consultation regarding clinical applications of electrotherapeutic agents. In 2013, he was named conference president for an international meeting on electrophysical agents in Amparo, Brazil. He is a member of APTA and Academy of Clinical Electrophysiology and Wound Management. Dr. Bellew resides with his family in Indianapolis and maintains a regular clinical practice at St. Francis Hospital Rehabilitation Services.

Susan Michlovitz

Susan Michlovitz, PT, PhD, CHT, is a hand therapist and physical therapist. Her clinical interests include arthritis, trauma, and disorders affecting the hand, wrist, and elbow. Dr. Michlovitz is also an adjunct associate professor of rehabilitation medicine at Columbia University, where she teaches in the Doctorate of Physical Therapy Program. In 2005, she was a professor in the Department of Physical Therapy at Temple University, Philadelphia. Her published research has been in determining the effectiveness of therapy interventions and in reliability and validity of examination techniques, mostly related to hand and upper extremity conditions.

Dr. Michlovitz has extensive experience in teaching therapists at the APTA Combined Sections Meetings, the American Society of Hand Therapists (ASHT), the American Association for Hand Surgery (AAHS) Annual Meetings, and the International Federation for Societies of Hand Therapists. She is an associate editor for case reports in the *Journal of Hand Therapy*. Her volunteer outreach work is spent with Guatemala Healing Hands Foundation for teaching and patient care in Guatemala City. She lives in Ithaca, New York, with her husband, Paul Velleman, their basset

hound/beagle, Mr. Baxter, their beagle Freddy, and a somewhat calico cat named Shayna. Sue is a wannabe photojournalist.

Thomas P. Nolan Jr.



Thomas Patrick Nolan Jr., PT, MS, DPT, OCS, is associate professor of physical therapy at Stockton University. Dr. Nolan received his bachelor of science in physical therapy from New York University and his master of science and doctor of physical therapy in physical therapy from Temple University. He is a certified orthopedic specialist (OCS) through the American Board of Physical Therapy Specialties. Dr. Nolan teaches physical modalities, electrotherapy, kinesiology of the spine, musculoskeletal physical therapy, and pharmacology at Stockton University, where he is also the coordinator of physical therapy continuing education courses. He is a per diem physical therapist for Virtua in Motion outpatient offices located in southern New Jersey. He is a member of APTA and the APTA New Jersey Chapter, the APTA Academy of Clinical Electrophysiology and Wound Management, and the APTA Orthopaedic Section. Tom lives in Marlton, New Jersey, where he enjoys spending time with his family at home and summers in Ocean City, New Jersey.

Contributors

C. Scott Bickel, PT, PhD
Associate Professor
Department of Physical Therapy
University of Alabama at Birmingham
Birmingham, AL

Elaine L. Bukowski, PT, MS, DPT, (D) ABDA, Emerita
Professor of Physical Therapy
Physical Therapy Program, School of Health Science
Stockton University
Galloway, NJ

Stacie J. Fruth, PT, DHS, OCS
Associate Professor and Chair
Krannert School of Physical Therapy
University of Indianapolis
Indianapolis, IN

Chris M. Gregory, PT, PhD
Associate Professor
Department of Health Sciences and Research and Division of Physical Therapy
College of Health Professions
Medical University of South Carolina
Charleston, SC

Charles Hazle, PT, PhD
Associate Professor
Center for Excellence in Rural Health
University of Kentucky
Hazard, KY

Therese E. Johnston, PT, PhD, MBA
Associate Professor
Department of Physical Therapy
Jefferson College of Health Professions
Thomas Jefferson University
Philadelphia, PA

David Lake PT, PhD
Professor of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Sciences
Armstrong State University
Savannah, GA

Richard E. Liebano, PT, PhD
Professor, Master and Doctoral Programs in Physical Therapy
UNICID—Universidade Cidade de São Paulo
São Paulo, Brazil

Ed Mahoney, PT, DPT, CWS
Associate Professor
Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center
Shreveport, LA

Robert Marsico, PT, EdD, OCS
Assistant Professor of Physical Therapy
Physical Therapy Program, School of Health Science
Stockton University
Galloway, NJ

Arthur J. Nitz, PT, PhD
Professor
University of Kentucky
Lexington, KY

Robert E. Post, PT, PhD
Professor and Director
Physical Therapy Program
Neumann University
Aston, PA

Sandy Rennie, PT, PhD
Adjunct Professor
School of Physiotherapy, Dalhousie University
Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada
Adjunct Professor, Department of Physical Therapy
University of Toronto
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

Andrew Starsky, PT, PhD
Clinical Assistant Professor
Marquette University
Milwaukee, WI

Reviewers

Carmen Casanova Abbott, PT, PhD
Clinical Associate Professor
Department of Physical Therapy
University of Missouri-Columbia
Columbia, MO

Mark Armstrong, PT, DPT, MS, MA, OCS, CSCS
Assistant Professor
Department of Physical Therapy
Hardin Simmons University
Abilene, TX

Marc Campolo, PT, PhD, SCS, ATC, CSCS
Chair of Department of Physical Therapy
University of the Sciences
Philadelphia, PA

Sam Copoletti, PT, DPT, CSCS
Program Coordinator
Department of Health and Science
University of Cincinnati, Clermont College PTA Program
Batavia, OH

James Crawley, MEd, ATC, PT
Athletic Training Program Director
Department of Allied Health
Dominican College
Orangeburg, NY

Sandra Curwin, BSc, MSc, PhD
Associate Professor, School of Physiotherapy
Dalhouise University
Halifax, Nova Scotia, Canada

Carla Gleaton, PT, MEd, PTA
Program Director
Kilgore College
Kilgore, TX

Jill Heitzman, PT, DPT, GCS, NCS, CWS, CEEAA, FACCWS
Associate Professor
Alabama State University
Montgomery, AL

Clare Lewis, DPT, PT, PsyD, FAAOMPT, MTC
Professor, Physical Therapy
California State University at Sacramento
Sacramento, CA

Dana Lingle, MHS, OTS/L,CHT
Assistant Professor, Occupational Therapy
Midwestern University
Downers Grove, IL

Heather MacKrell, PT, PhD
Program Director
Physical Therapist Assistant Department
Calhoun Community College
Tanner, AL

Alma Mattocks, MS, ATC, LAT
Clinical Education Coordinator
Master of Science in Athletic Training Department
Spalding University
Louisville, KY

Becky Olson-Kellogg, PT, DPT, GCS
Assistant Professor and Associate Program Director
Department of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN

Kelly Prescher, PT, DPT, OCS, CSCS
Director of Clinical Education, Doctor of Physical Therapy Program
School of Exercise and Nutritional Sciences
San Diego State University
San Diego, CA

Troy Seely, BS, MS, DPT (Candidate)
Fellow, Canadian Association of Physical Therapists
School of Physical Therapy
University of Western Ontario
London, Ontario, Canada

Contents

How to Use This Book

THERAPEUTIC MODALITIES AS A CURRICULAR THREAD

Traditional classroom and lab-based education in the principles and administration of therapeutic modalities has remained a cornerstone in educational programs within the rehabilitation sciences. The history and evolution of the clinical rehabilitation sciences have shown that certain areas of practice, such as electrical stimulation for denervated muscle or ultraviolet treatment for psoriasis, have waned, whereas other areas of clinical practice, such as integumentary or wound care and oncology, have grown immensely over the past few decades. Consequently, curricular content has undergone continual change and updating. This flux of curricular content reflects the advancement of scientific discovery and application and the mounting rise of literature to bolster evidence-based practice. The fact that curricular content given to principles and application of therapeutic modalities has remained pervasive in educational programs within the rehabilitation sciences substantiates the continued contribution of this area of practice to the more encompassing patient management model.

Although principles and applications of therapeutic modalities remain foundational content in most programs in the rehabilitative sciences, this content is far too often insular or taught apart from other curricular content, such as orthopedics, neurological rehabilitation, integumentary care, patient management, and other areas. This is wholly ironic because therapeutic modalities represent a group of interventions used to augment or supplement interventions taught in these course areas. Many areas of rehabilitative science, such as orthopedics or neurological rehabilitation, are taught with strategic course sequencing with content increasing accordingly in more advanced courses. However, content in therapeutic modalities often exists in a single "how to" course or, worse yet, a smaller part of a single course. Few educational programs sequence curricular content in therapeutic modalities in a progressive manner. Rather, therapeutic modalities are often taught separate from the interventions they complement. For example, orthopedic or musculoskeletal courses include instruction in rehabilitation following surgical repair of the anterior cruciate ligament. Incorporation of therapeutic modalities, such as neuromuscular electrical stimulation, biofeedback, or cryotherapy, reflects the reality of clinical care and better represents the complete patient management model than teaching these elements in a separated or disengaged manner. Because therapeutic modalities are too frequently taught in isolation, students receive a limited "one-time" exposure. It is our intention that this book be used not only in the primary therapeutic modalities course but also in courses where therapeutic modalities supplement or complement the interventions taught in those content-specific course areas, such as orthopedics, neurological rehabilitation, and so on.

At risk is clinical competency when therapeutic modalities are taught in isolation with little to no carry-through in the curriculum to relate or connect therapeutic modalities to those conditions or impairments for which they are advocated. It is our suggestion that the content of this book be used throughout the curriculum where therapeutic modalities offer adjunctive interventions. By maintaining continuity throughout the curriculum between therapeutic modalities and the specific

clinical areas of their supported application, a curricular thread is created, thereby improving clinical decision-making skills and competency.

The following table represents specific chapter content in this text and the potential curricular areas where use of therapeutic modalities are part of common clinical practice. It is our belief that the content of this text may be threaded or cross-referenced across the curriculum to reinforce the supplementary role that is offered by therapeutic modalities.

James W. Bellew, PT, EdD

Susan L. Michlovitz, PT, PhD, CHT

Thomas P. Nolan Jr., PT, DPT, OCS

Chapter Content and Related Curricular Areas

This table shows how therapeutic modalities may be strategically threaded throughout a curriculum to complement the primary content of each section area. Doing so reinforces the role and use of therapeutic modalities as therapies aimed at augmenting or complementing additional areas that are part of the complete patient care plan.

Therapeutic modalities for:	Cross-referenced courses:
Flexibility/ROM Thermotherapy (Chapter 3) Ultrasound (Chapter 4) Hydrotherapy (Chapter 5) Traction (Chapter 7) Electrotherapy (Chapter 12)	Orthopedics, Neurological Rehabilitation, Therapeutic Exercise, Kinesiology, Integumentary for US and Hydrotherapy
Strengthening NMES (Chapters 9, 10, 13, 14) Biofeedback (Chapter 13)	Orthopedics, Therapeutic Exercise, Exercise Science/Physiology, Neurological Rehabilitation
Neuromuscular Reeducation FES (Chapters 9, 10, 14) Biofeedback (Chapters 13, 14)	Neurological Rehabilitation, Orthopedics
Pain Modulation Cryotherapy and Thermotherapy (Chapters 2, 3) Ultrasound (Chapter 4) Hydrotherapy (Chapter 5) LASER and Diathermy (Chapter 6) Traction (Chapter 7) Electrotherapy (Chapters 9, 10, 11) Alternative Modalities (Chapter 16)	Orthopedics, Integumentary
Tissue Healing Electrotherapy (Chapters 10, 15) Hydrotherapy (Chapter 5) Cryotherapy and Thermotherapy (Chapters 2, 3) Ultrasound (Chapter 4) Compression (Chapter 8) Alternative Modalities (Chapter 16)	Orthopedics, Neurological Rehabilitation, Integumentary, Pharmacology
Neurodiagnostics EMG and NCV (Chapter 17)	Orthopedics, Neurological Rehabilitation

SECTION I

Introduction to Therapeutic Modalities

CHAPTER 1

Therapeutic Modalities Past, Present, and Future

Their Role in the Patient Care Management Model

CHAPTER 1

THERAPEUTIC MODALITIES PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE

Their Role in the Patient Care Management Model

James W. Bellew, PT, EdD

THERAPEUTIC MODALITIES: ROLES IN REHABILITATION

Therapeutic modalities represent the administration of thermal, mechanical, electromagnetic, and light energies for a specific therapeutic effect; for example, to decrease pain, increase range of motion (ROM), improve tissue healing, or improve muscle activation. The terms *therapeutic modalities* and *physical agents* are often used interchangeably to describe a wide array of treatments and interventions that provide a variety of therapeutic benefits. The term *physical agents* reflects the use of physical energies—such as thermal, mechanical, electromagnetic, or light—but fails to include the purpose or intention of their application. The term *therapeutic modalities,* as used throughout this text, more appropriately reflects the ability of these interventions to provide therapeutic benefits.

Therapeutic modalities have long been, presently are, and will continue to be a part of rehabilitation and are used to complement other elements of the more comprehensive patient care plan, such as therapeutic exercise (e.g., strengthening, stretching, neuromuscular reeducation, balance), manual therapy (e.g., joint and tissue mobilization, manipulation), and patient education (e.g., body mechanics, postural retraining, home exercise program, risk reduction). Cold therapy and compression may be used in the early phases of rehabilitation to limit swelling and pain that a patient may experience following acute injury or surgery. Continuous ultrasound or other heat therapy may be applied to improve elasticity of ligaments or joint capsular structures before beginning ROM activities in a patient who has deficient ROM. Electrical stimulation may be used to increase

activation and facilitate volitional recruitment of skeletal muscle until the patient can effectively contract the muscle and begin additional activities. These examples reflect the complementary use of modalities to achieve clinical goals. Because the effectiveness of these treatments may vary from patient to patient, the practitioner is challenged to identify those patients who are more likely to respond to a specific intervention. In this manner, the practitioner must consider or judge the probability or likelihood that a given intervention will help a particular patient. These decisions and others represent the basis of *clinical decision-making*. Competency with clinical decision-making is the basis for effective patient outcomes and attainment of goals.

Therefore, clinical decision-making can be thought of as the process of using information, experience, and judgments to decide which clinical interventions will most likely improve the problems identified in the examination. The bottom line is this: When identifying and establishing an intervention plan, the focus should be on selecting interventions that will most likely achieve positive results or outcomes—both quantitative and qualitative. When judiciously selected and applied, therapeutic modalities may play a significant role in successful patient care.

Key Point! In 2014, the American Physical Therapy Association began recommending use of the term "biophysical agents" to collectively refer to physical agents and modalities. We, the editors of this text, support this recommendation and recognize the advancements of our profession in better delineating and understanding the role of biophysical agents in rehabilitation. To maintain consistency with the title of the previous five editions of this text, the term "modalities" will be used interchangeably with biophysical agents throughout this edition. As the transition to the term biophysical agents progresses, future editions of this text will integrate such use.

Clinical decision-making—regarding the best modality to use, when to use it, and which patients are most likely to respond—remains relevant, but more critical and incumbent upon the practitioner is the challenge to use current best evidence to better define the therapeutic dose for a given treatment. This point was well articulated by Meryl Gersh, a professor of therapeutic modalities, who stated, "We would not expect a subclinical dose of antibiotics to successfully treat an infection. So why do we continue to apply TENS at sensory thresholds or a strong, comfortable level of sensation when the evidence suggests that stronger intensities applied for longer durations result in significant analgesia?"

Key Point! The current challenge when using therapeutic modalities is to identify and establish consensus for optimal doses and treatment procedures.

As practitioners, we are often challenged by patients who have multiple impairments and dysfunctions. Our role as experts in rehabilitation is to identify and skillfully provide interventions to address these impairments, thus providing optimal recovery of function. Even when facing a seemingly uncomplicated patient case whose therapy plan is clear, the emergence of confounding variables often impacts the execution of the initial plan of care. Imagine this happening during therapy: Your patient, who has decreased ROM and strength, is unable to complete the appropriate therapeutic activities to address ROM and strength because of underlying pain, or your patient has significant swelling of the knee and is unable to effectively contract the quadriceps secondary to effusion inhibition. Although increasing ROM and strength or volitional muscle recruitment are obvious goals in the plan of care, attention may first need to be given to decreasing the pain or reducing the effusion to help the patient continue with the therapy plan.

In their assessment of how therapeutic modalities affect muscle inhibition following knee joint effusion, Hopkins et al[1] reported that effusion-induced inhibition of the quadriceps was temporarily suspended with application of cold or transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS), noting a near complete reversal of quadriceps inhibition. This finding provides a rationale for using and considering therapeutic modalities as complements to the therapy plan.

Modalities as Part of the Comprehensive Plan

Therapeutic modalities have long been used in rehabilitation, and history of their use is well documented.

Although the use of therapeutic modalities has varied over the years, their application remains pervasive in many areas of clinical practice across several professions. In early 2014, the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) released data on Medicare payments for services provided in 2012. Electrical stimulation (unattended) and ultrasound ranked sixth and eighth, respectively, among the top 10 procedures in total Medicare payments to providers of physical medicine and rehabilitation services in 2012 (available at www.healthdata.gov). With this sustained usage has come greater clinical interest, more research, and evidence of the effects of modalities, yet much regarding their use remains poorly agreed upon, ill-communicated, and even less accepted by some.

With advancing technology and scientific discovery has come the evolution and emergence of newer modalities that add to the spectrum of interventional strategies and that enhance their role in rehabilitation. Use of therapeutic modalities has been and will remain a cornerstone of rehabilitation for joint and soft tissue injury, acute and chronic pain, and impaired muscle function. Whether used only during specific phases of rehabilitation or throughout the entire rehab program, therapeutic modalities represent a group of interventions that are adjunctive components of a more comprehensive therapy plan. Figure 1-1 depicts the complementary role therapeutic modalities play in the complete intervention plan.



Fig 1 ■ 1 Therapeutic modalities represent a diverse group of interventions that add to and complement other therapies that are part of the comprehensive rehabilitation plan.

Clinicians should review current evidence when therapeutic modalities are considered as adjuncts for an intervention plan. Many techniques in common use have not been studied, which has led to scientific inquiry addressing the efficacy of many therapeutic modalities. However, it should be noted that many studies examining the effectiveness of therapeutic modalities often assess their efficacy when used alone or in isolation—separate from and counter to the supplementary role that the editors of this text and the American Physical Therapy Association (APTA) advocate.

Key Point! In 2014, the APTA Choosing Wisely campaign specifically addressed the use of therapeutic modalities, stating that clinicians should not "employ passive physical agents except when necessary to facilitate participation in an active treatment program." This followed their earlier position statement that "without documentation that justifies the necessity of the exclusive use of physical agents, the use of physical agents, in the absence of other skilled therapeutic or educational intervention, should not be considered physical therapy."[2] These statements reflect the standpoint from which we, the authors of this text, attempt to present the use and administration of and evidence for therapeutic modalities as complementary, not stand-alone, therapies used as part of the complete patient care plan.

TYPES OF THERAPEUTIC MODALITIES

Therapeutic modalities are generally categorized as *thermal* (heat and cold), *electromagnetic* (electrotherapy, diathermy, ultraviolet, and infrared light), or *mechanical* (traction and compression). These modalities are used to increase the probability of a specific therapeutic effect (e.g., decreased pain, increased ROM, tissue healing, or improved muscle recruitment). Therapeutic modalities may be procedural, in-clinic interventions, such as ultrasound, or they may be home-based interventions, such as ice packs or continuous, low-level heat wraps, and even electrical stimulation; these serve to enhance additional therapeutic interventions identified in the more extensive plan of care, such as ROM or muscle strengthening.

Key Point! The term *therapeutic modality* can imply a *type* of energy used by the modality, a specific *range* of that energy, or the *method* of application of that modality.

Remember the impairments you found in your evaluation of the patient with a suspected knee injury: decreased ROM, decreased strength, pain, and swelling? These are just a few of the many problems for which therapeutic modalities may be used in conjunction with other interventions. In this manner, therapeutic modalities are used to increase the probability that certain clinical outcomes are realized.

The term *therapeutic modality* can have several meanings that vary based on the context in which it is used. For example, ultrasound represents both a *form* of energy (i.e., sound energy) and a specific *range* of energy (i.e., greater than 20,000 Hz). By convention, ultrasound has come to represent a *method* or means of delivering a therapeutic modality. It is prudent to be as specific as possible regarding the administration of a modality. When applying ultrasound, for example, it is recommended that the specific frequency used (i.e., 1 MHz or 3.3 MHz) be documented in addition to documenting the form of energy applied (i.e., ultrasound). Human hearing can detect sound frequencies ranging from approximately 15,000 to 20,000 Hz. Thus, *ultrasound* is named for the frequency range above human hearing. Ultrasound derives its name because the sound frequency used with therapeutic ultrasound is in the megahertz range, well beyond the 15,000 to 20,000 Hz range the human ear can detect.

Thermal Modalities: Cold and Heat

Cryotherapy

Cryotherapy (i.e., cold therapy) is the use of cold to induce the therapeutic and physiological responses that result from a decrease in tissue temperature. Therapeutic application of cold will result in reduced blood flow and tissue metabolism—physiological responses that decrease bleeding and acute inflammation following injury or tissue disruption. The application of cold also reduces pain, as the threshold for pain perception is elevated, thereby desensitizing peripheral afferent nociceptors.[3] Collectively reducing swelling and pain may permit patients to complete the other components of the therapy plan, again reinforcing the supplementary role of modalities.

Therapeutic cold can be applied using ice, cold water, cold gel-filled packs, or vapocoolant sprays. Cold packs and ice packs are the most common and familiar applications of therapeutic cold (Fig. 1-2). Ice packs can easily be made at home and used as part of the patient's home program. Commercially made cold packs often contain a gel-like substance that allows the cold pack to mold to the affected body part. Cold water may provide therapeutic benefit and may be applied as cool whirlpool, cold water baths, or added to ice packs to create a slushy ice-water mixture that can be molded to the body part. In addition, larger pieces of ice held in the hand may be used to provide an ice massage (Fig. 1-3) or may be used as an "ice pop" (Fig. 1-4). Also used to reduce tissue temperature are topical, or vapocoolant, sprays (such as Spray and Stretch) that result in rapid, superficial, and short-lived tissue cooling by means of evaporation.

Whichever application of therapeutic cold is most appropriate and most effective will depend on several



Fig 1 ■2 Cold therapy can be applied by use of gel or ice packs.

Fig 1 ■3 Handheld ice cups provide cold therapy during an ice massage.

factors, including the size of the affected area, the depth of the tissues to be treated, the patient's tolerance to cold, and whether the application will occur in the clinic or at home. More extensive descriptions of cryotherapy and therapeutic use of cold are found in Chapter 2.

Thermotherapy

The therapeutic application of heat provides a variety of benefits that augment the comprehensive therapy plan. Heat may facilitate tissue healing, relax skeletal muscles and decrease spasms, decrease pain, promote an increase in blood flow, and prepare joints, capsular structures, muscles, and other soft tissues for stretching, mobilization, and exercise.[4–7]

Heat can be applied in many forms and through various mediums. Warm water as used in a bath or whirlpool has long been used in rehabilitation and can easily be used at home. Use of heat packs, both in-clinic and at home, have led to the commercial production of single-use heat wraps that can be placed on various body regions (Fig. 1-5). Heat may also be delivered through the use of light, sound, and electromagnetic energies.

Fig 1 ■4 Use of handheld "ice pops" offers quick and efficient cold therapy to many areas where cold packs may be less effective.

Fig 1 ■5 Heat wraps are an easy and convenient source of heat therapy.

The warmth of the sun's rays is a well-known example of heat transfer via ultraviolet energy. Shortwave diathermy (SWD) can provide therapeutic heat through the use of electromagnetic energy, and acoustical or sound energy from ultrasound can be used to increase tissue temperature. Warm water and hot packs are used to raise tissue temperature in the skin and the superficial subcutaneous tissues, whereas continuous-wave ultrasound and SWD are better suited to raising temperature in deeper tissues (up to 5 cm). Selection of the appropriate form of therapeutic heat will depend on several factors, including the area to be treated, the depth of the tissues to be heated, the patient's tolerance to heat, the patient's medical history, and the interventions to be used that are complemented by therapeutic heat. More extensive detail on therapeutic heat and its application are presented in Chapter 3.

Electromagnetic Modalities

Electrotherapy

Electrical currents are used for a wide variety of therapeutic benefits and for an equally wide variety of needs. General therapeutic benefits of electrotherapy may include strengthening and relaxing skeletal muscle, decreasing pain, facilitating neuromuscular reeducation, augmenting ROM, attenuating disuse atrophy, promoting tissue and wound healing, reducing edema, increasing local blood flow, and delivering medicinal ions transdermally. The robust and wide-ranging therapeutic benefits of electrotherapy are derived from the selection of specific parameters of electrical currents such as amplitude, duration, and frequency.

Fundamental to most applications of electrical stimulation is the depolarization, or activation, of peripheral nerves. Use of TENS to decrease perception of pain is one of the most widely recognized applications of electrotherapy, and its clinical effects have been extensively researched.[8–10] Activation of skeletal muscle is used for increasing strength (known as *neuromuscular electrical stimulation,* or NMES) or for restoring or improving use of skeletal muscle during functional activities such as walking (known as *functional electrical stimulation,* or FES; Fig. 1-6). Research continues to delineate the benefits of electrotherapy for actuation of skeletal muscle.[11,12]

Use of certain electrotherapeutic currents have also demonstrated specific and unique effects on cell populations found in wounds and healing tissues.[13–16] Iontophoresis is the use of electrical current to facilitate the delivery of specific drugs and ions to reduce tissue inflammation, decrease local pain, reduce calcium deposits, and reduce scar restrictions. More extensive descriptions of the principles and applications of electrotherapy are presented in Chapters 9 and 10.



Fig 1 ■6 Electrical stimulation can be used to facilitate functional activities. Stimulation of the anterior tibialis in patients with impaired activation can assist in dorsiflexion of the ankle during gait.

Electromagnetic radiation is used for a variety of therapeutic benefits, both thermal and nonthermal. Classified according to the specific frequency of the electromagnetic wave, therapeutic electromagnetic radiation includes SWD, infrared radiation (IR), and ultraviolet (UVA and UVB) radiation. Continuous SWD and infrared are used to increase tissue temperature. IR increases temperature more in superficial tissues; SWD heats both superficial and deep tissues (Fig. 1-7). The therapeutic benefits of tissue heating complement soft tissue and joint mobilization,[17,18] muscle activation,[19] flexibility,[17] tissue healing,[20] and pain modulation.[19,21]

SWD has primarily been used as a thermal modality. Nonthermal benefits of therapeutic electromagnetic

Fig 1 ■7 Diathermy provides heating of deep tissues and may precede stretching or other range of motion activities.

radiation (e.g., UVA and UVB and pulsed diathermy) remain somewhat unclear but are thought to affect activity at the cellular level, perhaps by altering permeability of the semipermeable phospholipid bilayer, enhancing metabolic activity of the cell and production of adenosine triphosphate (ATP), or altering the activity of membrane-bound cell proteins.[20] More detailed descriptions of the therapeutic benefits and applications of electromagnetic energy are provided in Chapter 6.

Mechanical Modalities

Compression

Force, either of a compressive or distractive nature, may be used for therapeutic benefit during rehabilitation. Compressive force may come from application of wraps, stockings, or garments. It may also come from compression pumps and even from water via the hydrostatic pressure created when a body part is submerged in water. Compression techniques are applied to prevent, attenuate, or reverse swelling that may follow soft tissue injury or compromise the circulatory system, or they may be applied to alter formation of scar tissue during the proliferation and maturation phase of scarring.

The principal mechanism underlying the use of compression to manage edema is applying external compression on the body or body part to increase hydrostatic pressure in the interstitial space. This directs counterpressure at the outflow of fluid from the compromised vessels, thereby reducing the accumulation of fluid in the interstitial space. Compression may also be used during the formation and modeling of scar tissue (e.g., following burn injury) to minimize scar formation and reduce hypertrophic scarring. Unlike collagen synthesis, which requires oxygen, collagen lysis does not require oxygen; therefore, compression can be used to limit scar formation while not affecting scar lysis[22] (Fig. 1-8).

Traction

Mechanical or manual traction is the application of distractive forces to lessen or reduce compression on a structure and is most commonly associated with spinal traction (Fig. 1-9). By separating or reducing compression of adjacent segments, such as joints, or reducing pressure on anatomical structures, such as nerves, blood vessels, and joint capsules, traction may be used to decrease pain, increase ROM, improve functional ability, increase blood flow, and reduce muscle guarding. Manual therapy, exercises for muscle strengthening and

Fig 1 ■8 Compression can be used to limit or reduce swelling that often follows soft tissue damage.

Fig 1 ■9 Manual or mechanical traction is used to reduce the compression on a structure such as a joint, nerve, or tissue. Both clinical and home-based forms of traction are used for therapeutic benefit.